

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box

PTO/SB/21 (6-98)
Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0031
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

	Application Number	09/702,630
<b>TRANSMITTAL</b>	Filing Date	October 31, 2000
FORM	First Named Inventor	R. GALLY, et al.
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Group Art Unit	
	Examiner Name	

Total Number of Pages in This Submission		34	Attorney Docket Numbe	r 8	1674-264196				
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)									
Fee Transmittal Form		Assignment Papers (for an Application)			After Allowance Communication to Group				
	Fee .	Attached	Drawing(s)			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
	Amendmer	nt/ Response		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
	☐ Afte	er Final		Petition Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition			Proprietary Information		
	☐ Affi	davits/declaration(s)			to Convert to a		Status Letter		
	Extension	of Time Request	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence		×	Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below):			
	Express At	pandonment Request	Address  Terminal Disclaimer			Request for			
_	Information Binderum Chakemant		Small Entity Statement			Reconsideration of Petition Under 37			
	Information Disclosure Statement		Reques	at for Refund		C.F.R. § 1.47(a)			
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application  Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53			Remarks		RECEI, Co-				
		RECEIVED  OCT 0 4 2001							
		<sup>UCT</sup> 0 4 2001							
		OFFICE OF PETITIONS							
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT									
	Firm Roger R. Wise								
or Indiv	vidual name								
Sigi	Signature Day R. Was								
Dat	Date September 14, 2001								
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING									
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an									

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be send to the Chief Information Officer Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Date

9/14/01

envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date: 9/14/01

Typed or printed name Roger R. Wise

Signature





PATENT 81674-264196

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal R. GALLY, et al. Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents Serial No: 09/702,630 Washington D.C. 20231, on September 14, 2001 Date of Deposit Filing Date: October 31, 2000 Roger R. Wise Name For: DISTRIBUTED SWITCH/ROUTER SILICON ENGINE

# REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(a)

Box DAC Petitions Branch Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

OCT 0 4 2001

Dear Sir:

OFFICE OF PETITIONS DEPUTY A/C PATENTS

# **BACKGROUND**

- 1. A Petition Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(a) was filed on May 24, 2001 in response to a Notification of Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application for the above-identified application.
- 2. A Decision on the May 24, 2001 Rule 47 Petition was mailed on July 17, 2001 from the Office of Petitions, dismissing the Rule 47 Petition. The Decision on the Rule 47 Petition set a two-month due date, i.e., September 17, 2001, to file a Request for Reconsideration. A copy of the Decision on the Rule 47 Petition mailed July 17,

#### **DECISION ON THE RULE 47 PETITION**

- 3. The July 17, 2001 Decision on the Rule 47 Petition states that a grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor. The Decision on the Rule 47 Petition states that item (1) above is lacking, and that the Rule 47 Petition was dismissed on the basis that it failed to demonstrate, with a documented showing, that a diligent effort was made to find or locate the nonsigning inventor, PER FLEMMING HANSEN.
- 4. The Decision on the Rule 47 Petition states that a proper reply must be filed within TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of the Decision on the Rule 47 Petition to corrected the above-noted deficiency. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

#### BASIS FOR RECONSIDERATION

- 5. The following Declaration is enclosed in support of this Request for Reconsideration as Exhibit B: /
  - (1) Declaration of Proof that Per Flemming Hansen Cannot Be Found or

Located After Diligent Effort by Eric S. Chen, attorney for petitioning applicants.

- 6. Evidence of the following facts is set forth in the accompanying Declaration. Letters to the addresses of four (4) PER FLEMMING HANSENS (Declaration Exhibit 1) were mailed on August 7, 2001 via Certified Mail by my associate attorney, Eric S. Chen. These four addresses were obtained after conducting a search on August 6, 2001 on the following five (5) Internet search sites (results of which are included in the accompanying Declaration): (1) Infobel.com (Declaration Exhibit 2); (2) Infospace.com (Declaration Exhibit 3); (3) Netscape.com (Declaration Exhibit 4); (4) Lycos.com (Declaration Exhibit 5); and (5) iWon.com (Declaration Exhibit 6). A fifth address for PER FLEMMING HANSEN, which matched our last known address as provided in the Rule 47 Petition, was also located in the Internet search, but a new letter was not re-sent thereto.
- 7. The letters inquired whether the addressee was ever an employee of Intel Corporation, assignee of the present application. To date, responses from three of the four PER FLEMMING HANSENs have been received (Declaration Exhibits 7-9), each stating that he was not an employee of Intel Corporation. To date, we have not received anything nor heard from the Per Flemming Hansen residing at Bjerredevej 3, 4682 Tureby, Denmark.
  - 8. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that this application be accepted

under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 now that a documented showing that PER FLEMMING HANSEN could not be found or located after a diligent effort to do so has been conducted.

9. No fees are believed to be required. However, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 03-3975.

Respectfully submitted,

PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP

Date: September 4, 2001

Roger R Wise

Registration No. 31,204 Attorney for Applicant(s)

725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406 Telephone: (213) 488-7100

Facsimile: (213) 629-1033

Attachments:

Exhibits A and B